August 2009



DEPARTMENT OF EDUCATION

2008-2009 School Year Reports

Dear School Board Members and School Personnel:

The Maine Comprehensive Assessment System is the State's measure of student progress in achieving the State standards known as *Learning Results*. The Maine Educational Assessment (MEA) is administered in grades 3 through 8 to meet these state assessment requirements. Since the spring of 2006, the SAT Reasoning TestTM (SAT) has been administered to students in their third year of high school in place of the MEA for state and federal purposes. The move from the MEA to the SAT in grade 11 was made to encourage all students in the goal of attaining college and high-level workplace readiness as well as to measure academic achievement. This year, the mathematics portion of the SAT Reasoning TestTM was augmented with 11 additional mathematics items (the Math-A test) to more fully measure Maine's *Learning Results*. The assessment continues to include science testing, which resumed last year after a two-year hiatus. The combined set of tests comprises the Maine High School Assessment (MHSA).

These 2008-2009 Maine High School Assessment Summary Reports contain the results of student performance in critical reading, mathematics, writing, and science reported according to the academic standards described above and disaggregated by student and school characteristics. The MHSA achievement level standards for the 2009 critical reading, writing, mathematics and science sections of the MHSA were determined by Maine educators with specific expertise within the content areas. This report, together with individual student and subject-specific student roster reports, provides support for use in program evaluation and planning. All scores contained in these reports are included for Maine state and federal reporting purposes only. While scores from the SAT may also be used for college admission by most students, they may not be used for that purpose if a student received accommodations during the test administration that exceeded those made available by the College Board.

These results reflect scores based on SAT, Math-A, and Science test questions that were taken by over 15,000 students who were enrolled in their third year of high school across all Maine public schools. The MHSA employs an assessment design that requires students to create a written response to a writing prompt, generate answers to open-ended mathematics and science questions, and in all subjects, select answers to multiple-choice questions. More information about the design, history, and use of the SAT can be found at: http://www.maine.gov/education/sat_initiative/.

I look forward to working with you in support of our continued efforts to improve the quality and effectiveness of the instructional opportunities designed to help all students achieve the high standards of the *Learning Results* and graduate from any Maine high school prepared for college, career, and citizenship.

Susan A. Lendron

Sincerely,

Susan A. Gendron

Commissioner of Education



SAU High School Report

Test Date: May 2009

Code: 1025

SAU: Brooksville School Department

Contents of the Report

The report is divided into six main sections including a section describing the students tested and a separate section for the results in each content area.

Topic	Page
Summary of Scores	2
Summary of Student Participation	3
Critical Reading Results	4-5
Mathematics Results	6-7
Writing Results	8-9
Science Results	10-11




SUMMARY OF SCORES


Test Date: May 2009


SAU: Brooksville School Department


Summary of School, SAU, and State Scores

Year	Avera	age Scaled S	Score
icui	School	SAU	State
Critical Reading 2006–2007 2007–2008 2008–2009 Cum Average*		1146 1150 1140 1145	1141 1141 1141 1141
Mathematics 2006–2007 2007–2008 2008–2009 Cum Average*		1140 1144 1143 1142	1140 1141 1141 1141
Writing 2006–2007 2007–2008 2008–2009 Cum Average*		1144 1147 1139 1143	1141 1140 1140 1140
Science 2008–2009**		1141	1140









^{*}Cumulative averages are weighted, i.e., the scaled scores are averaged proportionally based on the numbers of students in each year.

^{**}Because science standards were reset in May 2009, no historical data are available.



SUMMARY OF STUDENT PARTICIPATION

Test Date: May 2009

	E	Enro	llr	ne	nt¹								CC	ראכ	ΓΕΙ	ΝT	AR	EΑ	PA	\R1	TIC	IPA	TIC)N²	2					
CATEGORY OF	dur	ing te	stin	ıg w	indo	w		С	ritical	Read	ing				Mathe	ematio	s				Wri	iting					Sci	ence		
PARTICIPATION	School		SAL	J	St	ate	Scl	nool	s	AU	St	ate	Sc	hool	S	AU	St	ate	Scl	nool	S	AU	St	ate	Scl	nool	S	AU	St	ate
	N 9	6 N		%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Total number of students		14	1	100	15632	100			14	100	14928	96			14	100	15274	98			14	100	14926	96			14	100	15079	97
Ethnicity African American/Black		0		0	341	2			0	0	310	91			0	0	322	95			0	0	309	91			0	0	317	93
American Indian or Native Alaskan		0		0	111	1			0	0	101	91			0	0	107	96			0	0	101	91			0	0	103	93
Asian or Pacific Islander		0		0	241	2			0	0	221	92			0	0	229	95			0	0	221	92			0	0	227	94
Hispanic		0		0	166	1			0	0	156	94			0	0	162	98			0	0	156	94			0	0	155	93
Caucasian/White		14	1	100	14773	95			14	100	14140	96			14	100	14454	98			14	100	14139	96			14	100	14277	97
Not Reported		0		0	0	0			0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0
Identified disability		3		21	2327	15			3	100	2108	91			3	100	2200	95			3	100	2099	91			3	100	2140	92
Current LEP		0		0	262	2			0	0	232	89			0	0	246	94			0	0	231	88			0	0	240	92
Economically disadvantaged		1		7	4634	30			1	100	4263	92			1	100	4451	96			1	100	4262	92			1	100	4383	95
Migrant		0		0	5	0			0	0	4	80			0	0	5	100			0	0	4	80			0	0	5	100

MODE OF		C	ritical	Readi	ng				Mathe	matic	s				Wri	ting					Scie	ence	
	:	chool	S	AU	St	ate	Scl	nool	s	AU	St	ate	Sch	nool	S	AU	St	ate	Sc	nool	S	AU	State
PARTICIPATION ³	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	N %
Participation without accommodations			12	86	13079	84			12	86	13417	86			12	86	13084	84			12	86	13288 85
Identified disability (PET/IEP)			1	8	727	6			1	8	814	6			1	8	725	6			1	8	802 6
LEP			0	0	170	1			0	0	181	1			0	0	170	1			0	0	177 1
504 plan			0	0	238	2			0	0	245	2			0	0	238	2			0	0	241 2
Participation with accommodations			2	14	1626	10			2	14	1636	10			2	14	1624	10			2	14	1579 10
Identified disability (PET/IEP)			2	100	1158	71			2	100	1165	71			2	100	1156	71			2	100	1126 71
LEP			0	0	56	3			0	0	59	4			0	0	55	3			0	0	57 4
504 plan			0	0	79	5			0	0	79	5			0	0	80	5			0	0	77 5
Other			0	0	360	22			0	0	360	22			0	0	360	22			0	0	345 22
Participation through alternate assessment (PAAP)			0	0	223	1			0	0	221	1			0	0	218	1			0	0	212 1
Identified disability (PET/IEP)			0	0	223	100			0	0	221	100			0	0	218	100			0	0	212 100
LEP			0	0	6	3			0	0	6	3			0	0	6	3			0	0	6 3
504 plan			0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0			0	0	0	0			0	0	0 0
Approved non-participation in reading – 1st year LEP			0	0	0	0																	
Approved non-participation – special consideration			0	0	24	0			0	0	34	0			0	0	24	0			0	0	26 0
Non-participation – other			0	0	680	4			0	0	324	2			0	0	682	4			0	0	527 3



CRITICAL READING RESULTS

Test Date: May 2009

ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS: Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a student on state-level assessments in relation to the reading standards for achieving Maine's <i>Learning</i>		ST	UDENTS A	AT EACH	ACHIEVE	MENT LEV	'EL
Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling idea	ntified	Sch	nool	S	AU	Sta	ite
standards within reading at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to multiple items in an "on demand" setting.	-choice	N	%	N	%	N	%
Exceeds the Standards – The student's work demonstrates the ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level by applying a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge as the student draws in-depth inferences, analyzes texts for subtle clues, synthesizes information across texts, and uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to make deeper connections within or across texts to increase comprehension. (scaled score 1162-1180)	2006-2007 2007-2008 2008-2009 Cum. Total*			0 3 2 5	0 30 14 14	1168 1184 1339 3691	8 8 9 8
Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates the ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level by applying a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge as the student draws inferences, identifies summary statements, connects ideas within and across texts, and uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to increase comprehension. (scaled score 1142-1160)	2006-2007 2007-2008 2008-2009 Cum. Total*			8 4 5 17	73 40 36 49	5714 5885 5897 17496	38 40 40 40
Partially Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates an inconsistent ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level. The student's ability to use a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge varies depending on the texts as s/he draws inferences, identifies summary statements, connects ideas within and across texts, and uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to support comprehension. (scaled score 1130-1140)	2006-2007 2007-2008 2008-2009 Cum. Total*			2 2 3 7	18 20 21 20	4728 4093 4169 12990	31 28 28 29
Does Not Meet the Standards – The student's work demonstrates a limited ability to read and interpret literary and informational texts appropriate for the grade level. The student's responses are often incorrect leaving the impression that the student found it difficult to use a variety of reasoning skills and prior knowledge as s/he draws inferences, identifies summary statements, connects ideas within and across texts, or uses knowledge of text structures and literary devices to support comprehension. (scaled score 1100-1128)	2006-2007 2007-2008 2008-2009 Cum. Total*			1 1 4 6	9 10 29 17	3444 3417 3255 10116	23 23 22 23



CRITICAL READING RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

Test Date: May 2009

DEDORTING					Sch	nool							SA	AU .					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Tested		E		М		P		D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	Р	D	Mean Scaled	Tested	E	М	Р	D	Mear Scale
	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students											14	14	36	21	29	1140	14660	9	40	28	22	1141
Ethnicity																						
African American/Black											0						303	3	23	27	47	1133
American Indian or Native Alaskan											0						100	5	27	30	38	1135
Asian or Pacific Islander											0						219	11	34	28	26	1141
Hispanic											0						151	3	34	33	30	1137
Caucasian/White											14	14	36	21	29	1140	13887	9	41	28	21	1141
Not Reported											0						0					
Identified disability																						
Yes											3						1865	1	11	24	64	1127
No											11	18	45	18	18	1145	12795	10	45	29	16	1143
Current LEP																						
Yes											0						225	0	9	22	68	1126
No											14	14	36	21	29	1140	14435	9	41	29	21	1141
Economically disadvantaged																						
Yes											1						4120	3	30	32	35	1136
No											13	15	38	23	23	1142	10540	11	44	27	17	1143
Migrant																						
Yes											0						3					
No											14	14	36	21	29	1140	14657	9	40	28	22	1141
Gender																						
Female											6	17	33	33	17	1145	7098	10	43	29	18	1142
Male											8	13	38	13	38	1136	7562	9	37	28	26	1140
Not Reported											0					1100	0	Ů				
Title 1A targeted program																						
Yes											0						291	3	28	28	41	1135
No											14	14	36	21	29	1140	14369	9	40	28	22	1141
Gifted/talented program																						
Yes											0						520	52	45	3	1	1161
No No											14	14	36	21	29	1140	14140	8	40	29	23	1140
110											'~	'-	30				1					''-10
			1		1	1	1	1	1	1	l	l	1	1	1		I		;	1	1	1



MATHEMATICS RESULTS

Test Date: May 2009

SAU: Brooksville School Department

ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS: Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a student's responses STUDENTS AT EACH ACHIEVEMENT LEVEL on state-level assessments in relation to the mathematics standards for achieving Maine's Learning Results. Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling identified School SAU State standards within mathematics at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to a combination of multiple-choice items and items requiring student-created responses in an "on demand" setting. Ν % Ν % Ν % Exceeds the Standards – The student's work demonstrates in-depth understanding of 2006-2007 578 essential concepts in mathematics, including the ability to make multiple connections 2007-2008 10 637 among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate the ability to synthesize 2 2008-2009 14 596 information, analyze and solve difficult or unfamiliar problems, and apply complex Cum. Total* 4 11 1811 concepts. (scaled score 1162-1180) Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates an understanding of essential 2006-2007 2 5481 36 18 concepts in mathematics, including the ability to make connections among central ideas. 6 2007-2008 60 5508 37 The student's responses demonstrate the ability to reason, analyze and solve problems, and 2008-2009 4 29 5674 38 Cum. Total* 12 16663 37 apply concepts. (scaled score 1142-1160) Partially Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates incomplete 2006-2007 4754 31 36 understanding of essential concepts in mathematics and inconsistent connections among 2007-2008 1 10 5065 34 central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate some ability to analyze and solve 2008-2009 5 36 31 4622 Cum. Total* 10 29 14441 32 problems and apply concepts. (scaled score 1134-1140) Does Not Meet the Standards – The student's work demonstrates limited understanding 2006-2007 4607 30 4 36 of essential concepts in mathematics and infrequent or inaccurate connections among 2 2007-2008 20 3660 25 central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate minimal ability to solve problems and 2008-2009 3 21 4116 27 9 Cum. Total* 26 12383 27 apply concepts. (scaled score 1100-1132)



MATHEMATICS RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

Test Date: May 2009

					Sch	nool							SA	ΑU					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Tested		E		М		P		D	Mean Scaled	Tested	E	М	Р	D	Mean Scaled	Tested	E	М	Р	D	Mean Scaled
	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students											14	14	29	36	21	1143	15008	4	38	31	27	1141
Ethnicity																						
African American/Black											0						315	1	15	29	56	1134
American Indian or Native Alaskan											0						106	1	20	31	48	1134
Asian or Pacific Islander											0						227	11	41	28	21	1144
Hispanic											0						157	1	27	25	46	1136
Caucasian/White			-								14	14	29	36	21	1143	14203	4	39	31	27	1141
Not Reported											0	''				1110	0		"	0.	-	
Not neported																						
Identified disability																						
Yes											3						1959	0	7	19	73	1130
No											11	18	36	45	0	1147	13049	5	42	33	21	1142
Current LEP																						
Yes											0						239	0	14	24	62	1132
No											14	14	29	36	21	1143	14769	4	38	31	27	1141
140											'-	'-	25	00	-	1140	14703		00	01	-	1111
Economically disadvantaged			-											1						-		
Yes											1						4306	1	24	33	42	1136
No											13	15	31	38	15	1144	10702	5	43	30	21	1142
Migrant																						
Yes											0						4					
No											14	14	29	36	21	1143	15004	4	38	31	27	1141
Gender																						
Female											6	17	33	33	17	1146	7248	3	38	33	27	1140
Male			-				-		-		8	13	25	38	25	1140	7760	5	38	29	28	1141
Not Reported											0			-			0					
			İ											İ	İ							
Title 1A targeted program																						
Yes											0				İ		293	1	23	37	39	1137
No											14	14	29	36	21	1143	14715	4	38	31	27	1141
Gifted/talented program																						
Yes											0						521	31	62	4	2	1157
No											14	14	29	36	21	1143	14487	3	63 37	32	28	1140
INU			1								14	14	29	30	21	1143	14467		31	32	20	1140



WRITING RESULTS

Test Date: May 2009 SAU: Brooksville School Department

ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS: Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a student on state-level assessments in relation to the writing standards for achieving Maine's <i>Learning</i>	•	ST	JDENTS A	AT EACH	ACHIEVE	MENT LEV	'EL
Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling ider		Sch	ool	S	AU	Sta	ite
standards within writing at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to a combir multiple-choice items and items requiring student-created responses in an "on demand" setting		N	%	N	%	N	%
Exceeds the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate skillful ability to select clear, precise sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage errors; and to select revisions that add to the clarity, precision and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's essay demonstrates an effectively developed and insightful point of view on the issue and outstanding critical thinking, with clearly appropriate examples, reasons, and other evidence to support a position. The essay is well-organized and clearly focused, demonstrating clear coherence and smooth progression of ideas and free of most errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics. (scaled score 1162-1180)	2006-2007 2007-2008 2008-2009 Cum. Total*			1 3 2 6	9 30 14 17	937 962 1062 2961	6 7 7 7
Meets the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate ability to select clear sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage errors; and to select revisions that add to the clarity and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's essay demonstrates an effectively developed point of view on the issue and strong critical thinking, with generally appropriate examples, reasons, and other evidence to support a position. The essay is well-organized and focused, demonstrating coherence and progression of ideas and generally free of most errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics. (scaled score 1142-1160)	2006-2007 2007-2008 2008-2009 Cum. Total*			5 3 3 11	45 30 21 31	6167 5564 5706 17437	41 38 39 39
Partially Meets the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate inconsistent ability to select clear sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage errors; and to select revisions that add to the clarity and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's essay demonstrates a developed point of view on the issue and some critical thinking, but may do so inconsistently or with inadequate examples, reasons, or other evidence to support a position. The essay is generally organized and focused, but may demonstrate some lapses in coherence or progression of ideas and may contain errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics. (scaled score 1130-1140)	2006-2007 2007-2008 2008-2009 Cum. Total*			5 3 6 14	45 30 43 40	4723 4679 4487 13889	31 32 31 31
Does Not Meet the Standards – The student's responses demonstrate limited ability to select clear sentence improvements that are free of awkwardness or ambiguity; to recognize grammar and usage errors; and to select revisions that add to the clarity and overall effectiveness of a passage. The student's essay demonstrates a vague or seriously limited point of view on the issues and weak critical thinking, with inappropriate or insufficient examples, reasons, or other evidence to support a position. The essay is poorly organized and/or focused and may contain an accumulation of errors in grammar, usage, and mechanics that interfere with understanding the message of the essay. (scaled score 1100-1128)	2006-2007 2007-2008 2008-2009 Cum. Total*			0 1 3 4	0 10 21 11	3227 3376 3408 10011	21 23 23 23



WRITING RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

Test Date: May 2009

DEDORTING					Scl	hool							SA	ΑU	,				St	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Tested		E		М		P		D	Mean Scaled	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled	Tested	E	М	P	D	Mean Scaled
	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students											14	14	21	43	21	1139	14663	7	39	31	23	1140
Ethnicity																						
African American/Black											0						302	2	22	32	44	1133
American Indian or Native Alaskan											0						100	2	23	35	40	1134
Asian or Pacific Islander											0						219	10	37	27	26	1141
Hispanic											0						151	4	29	32	35	1135
Caucasian/White											14	14	21	43	21	1139	13891	7	40	31	23	1140
Not Reported											0	''					0					
Identified disability																						
Yes											3						1861	0	8	21	71	1125
No											11	18	18	55	9	1143	12802	8	43	32	16	1142
Current LEP																						
Yes											0						224	0	8	28	64	1127
No											14	14	21	43	21	1139	14439	7	39	31	23	1140
Economically disadvantaged																						
Yes											1						4121	2	27	33	38	1134
No											13	15	23	46	15	1141	10542	9	44	30	18	1142
Migrant																						
Yes											0						3					
No											14	14	21	43	21	1139	14660	7	39	31	23	1140
Gender																						
Female											6	17	33	50	0	1146	7103	9	43	31	17	1143
Male											8	13	13	38	38	1134	7560	6	35	30	30	1138
Not Reported											0						0					
Title 1A targeted program																						
Yes											0						291	3	25	36	35	1135
No											14	14	21	43	21	1139	14372	7	39	30	23	1140
											' ੋ	'	-'		-'	100	1.072	, '	30	30		1140
Gifted/talented program														İ	-							
Yes											0			1			520	43	52	3	1	1159
No											14	14	21	43	21	1139	14143	6	38	32	24	1139
ı																						
															-							



SCIENCE RESULTS

Test Date: May 2009

SAU: Brooksville School Department

ACHIEVEMENT LEVELS: Achievement level definitions describe the quality of a student's responses STUDENTS AT EACH ACHIEVEMENT LEVEL on state-level assessments in relation to the science standards for achieving Maine's Learning Results. School SAU State Maine state-level assessments measure the knowledge and skills of students by sampling identified standards within science at the grade level assessed. Evidence includes responses to a combination of Ν % Ν % Ν % multiple-choice items and items requiring student-created responses in an "on demand" setting. Exceeds the Standards – The student's work demonstrates in-depth understanding of essential concepts in science, including the ability to make multiple connections among central ideas. The student's responses demonstrate the ability to synthesize information, analyze and solve difficult problems, and 2008-2009* 1 7 602 4 explain complex concepts using evidence and proper terminology to support and communicate logical conclusions. (scaled score 1162-1180) Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates a general understanding of essential concepts in science, including the ability to make connections among central ideas. The student's responses 2008-2009* 4 29 5431 37 demonstrate the ability to analyze and solve routine problems and explain central concepts with sufficient clarity and accuracy to demonstrate general understanding. (scaled score 1142-1160) Partially Meets the Standards – The student's work demonstrates incomplete understanding of essential concepts in science and inconsistent connections among central ideas. The student's responses 4 29 3876 2008-2009* 26 demonstrate some ability to analyze and solve problems but the quality of responses is inconsistent. Explanation of concepts may be incomplete or unclear. (scaled score 1134-1140) Does Not Meet the Standards - The student's work demonstrates limited understanding of essential concepts in science and infrequent or inaccurate connections among central ideas. The student's 5 2008-2009* 36 4958 33 responses demonstrate minimal ability to solve problems, Explanations are illogical, incomplete, or missing. There are many inaccuracies. (scaled score 1100-1132)

Learning Results		nber oints			rage Poi umber a			
Content Standards	Pos	sible	Sch	nool	SA	AU	Sta	ate
	N	%	N	%	N	%	N	%
Science Total Points	56	100			23.64	42.2	22.76	40.6
D. The Physical Setting	34	61			13.86	40.8	13.63	40.1
D1/D2 Earth/Space	14	25			6.36	45.4	6.05	43.2
D3/D4 Matter and Energy/Force and Motion	20	36			7.50	37.5	7.58	37.9
E. The Living Environment	22	39			9.79	44.5	9.13	41.5

The MHSA assesses students' science knowledge based on questions that measure the science accountability content standards highlighted in Maine's 2007 *Learning Results: Parameters for Essential Instruction*, which can be found at: http://www.maine.gov/education/lres/pei/index.html.

Content Standard D. The Physical Setting

- D1 Universe and Solar System
- D2 Earth
- D3 Matter and Energy
- D4 Force and Motion

Content Standard E. The Living Environment

- E1 Biodiversity
- E2 Ecosystems
- E3 Cells
- E4 Heredity and Reproduction
- E5 Evolution



SCIENCE RESULTS BY REPORTING SUBGROUPS

Test Date: May 2009

					Scł	nool								SA	AU					Sta	ate		
REPORTING CATEGORIES	Tested	ı	E		М		P		D		Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	Р	D	Mean Scaled Score	Tested	E	М	Р	D	Mean Scaled Score
	N	N	%	N	%	N	%	N		%	JCOTE	N	%	%	%	%	Score	N	%	%	%	%	Score
All Students												14	7	29	29	36	1141	14867	4	37	26	33	1140
Ethnicity African American/Black American Indian or Native Alaskan												0						311 102	1 1	18 19	20 30	61 50	1133 1135
Asian or Pacific Islander Hispanic												0						225 152	5 2	40 23	20 18	36 57	1141 1136
Caucasian/White Not Reported												14 0	7	29	29	36	1141	14077 0	4	37	26	32	1141
Identified disability Yes												3						1928	0	9	18	72	1131
No												11	9	36	27	27	1145	12939	5	41	27	28	1142
Current LEP Yes												0						234	0	10	11	79	1129
No												14	7	29	29	36	1141	14633	4	37	26	33	1140
Economically disadvantaged Yes												1						4264	2	24	26	47	1136
No												13	8	31	31	31	1143	10603	5	41	26	28	1142
Migrant Yes No												0 14	7	29	29	36	1141	4 14863	4	37	26	33	1140
Gender Female												6	0	33	50	17	1144	7179	2	32	29	37	1139
Male Not Reported												8	13	25	13	50	1139	7688 0	6	40	23	30	1142
Title 1A targeted program Yes No												0 14	7	29	29	36	1141	287 14580	2 4	23 37	26 26	49 33	1136 1140
Gifted/talented program Yes												0						517	28	65	6	1	1156
No												14	7	29	29	36	1141	14350	3	35	27	35	1140